## **REMARKS**

- I. STATUS OF CLAIMS
  - Claims 1-33 are pending herein.
- II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-33 FOR OBVIOUSNESS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(A) OVER CLARK (US PATENT NO. 4,636,622) IN VIEW OF URANO (US PATENT NO. 6,202,158)

Claim 1 is amended to recite an electronic apparatus having a display, comprising: (a) a photographic device acquiring image information of a user that operated the electronic apparatus; (b) a first memory, provided within said electronic apparatus, storing therein parameters which are set by a user authorized to access said electronic apparatus and representing conditions at which said image information is to be acquired, wherein said conditions include when a power supply for said electronic apparatus is turned ON, when a BIOS setup program installed in said electronic apparatus is activated, when said electronic apparatus is resumed, and when an illegal password is entered in said electronic apparatus; (c) a second memory, provided within said electronic apparatus, storing image information acquired by said photographing device; and (d) a control unit reading out said parameters from said first memory when a user operates said electronic apparatus, directing acquisition of image information by said photographic device when one or more of said conditions represented by the read parameters are established, and storing, in said second memory, the acquired image information, wherein said control unit causes the acquired image information to be read out from said second memory and then displayed on said display.

Support for the amendments is found, for example, in FIG. 4, and the disclosure on page 7, lines 25-35, of the specification.

Urano detects illegal access of a computer, and notifies the illegal access to a managing computer. See, for example, column 4, lines 55-59, of Urano. However, Urano does not relate to acquiring image information, such as taking a photograph, of a user when certain conditions are established.

Clark discloses a card user identification system having a computerized fingerprint matching system that identifies a user of a card. More specifically, in Clark, a user of a card, such as a credit card, must have his/her fingerprints taken at a fingerprint scanner when using the card. A camera takes a photograph of the user standing at the fingerprint scanner when the fingerprints of the user do not match a previously recorded fingerprint for a user of the card.

See, for example, column 3, lines 18-54, of Clark.

However, Clark does not disclose or suggest a first memory, provided within the apparatus, storing therein parameters which are set by a user authorized to access the apparatus and representing conditions at which image information is to be acquired, wherein the conditions include when a power supply for the apparatus is turned ON, when a BIOS setup program installed in the apparatus is activated, when the apparatus is resumed, and when an illegal password is entered in the apparatus as recited, for example, in the amended claim 1.

Moreover, Clark does not disclose or suggest a second memory, *provided within the apparatus*, storing image information acquired by a photographing device, as recited, for example, in the amended claim 1.

Further, Clark does not disclose or suggest a control unit reading out the parameters from the first memory when a user operates the apparatus, directing acquisition of image information by the photographic device when one or more of the conditions represented by the read parameters are established, and storing, in the second memory, the acquired image information, wherein the control unit causes the acquired image information to be read out from the second memory and then displayed on the display, as recited, for example, in the amended claim 1.

Please note that claim 1 recites first and second memories, *provided within the apparatus*, that store specific information. Clark does not disclose or suggest different memories, provided with the apparatus, storing the specific different types of information as recited, for example, in claim 1.

For example, in Clark, image information is NOT stored in a memory within the device in which the card is being used. Instead, in Clark, image information from the camera is transmitted to a remote location, such as to the central station 1, for storage. See, for example, column 3, lines 6-9; column 25-30; column 4, lines 34-37, of Clark.

Therefore, Clark relates to taking an image by a camera, and storing the image at a remote location for viewing at the remote location. Clark is significantly different than the present invention as recited, for example, in claim 1, where image information is stored in a memory within the apparatus, and the image information is displayed on a display of the apparatus.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the general nature and use of the invention in Clark is significantly different than that recited, for example, in claim 1.

Although the above comments are directed to claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that the comments would be helpful in understanding various differences of various other claims over the cited references.

Serial No. 09/771,689

Docket No.: 122.1433

New claim 34 recites that the first and second memories are different types of memories. See, for example, memory 15 and memory 14 in FIG. 1. It is respectfully submitted that claim 34 further defines differences of the use of memories over the cited references.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

## III. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

By:

Paul I. Kravetz

Registration No. 35,230

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500

Facsimile: (202) 434-1501